



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,107	06/25/2003	Chunseng Guo	871.0111.U1(US	3629
29683	7590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			BUI, BING Q	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,107	GUO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bing Q Bui	2642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 July 2005.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condi	<u>-</u>	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11-21 and 23-28 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11-21 and 23-28 is/are rejecte 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2003</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection to replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's Amendment filed on 7/08/2005 has been entered. Claims 1 and 13 have been amended. Claims 10 and 22 have been cancelled. Claims 24-28 have been added. Claims 1-9, 11-21 and 23-28 are still pending in this application, wherein claims 1, 13, 24 and 26 being independent.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-21 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: the content of a cancelled claim should not be listed to avoid a possible confusion to other pending claims. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9, 11-14, 16-17, 21 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brennan et al (US Pat No. 5,329,578) in view of Bissell et al (US Pat No. 5,243,645), herein after referred as Brennan and Bissell.

Regarding claim 1, Brennan teaches a telephone system for connecting callers and users, comprising:

user-specifiable means for defining at least one filter for filtering incoming calls and taking user-definable responses on incoming calls that satisfy the requirements of said at least one filter (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5), in which:

the user specifies a profile (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5);

the user has the option of modifying parameters of the specified profile, including specifying at least one filter in the profile and 'specifying user-specifiable parameters thereof (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, Ins 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5);

the system includes means for guiding the user through a setup sequence (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5); and

the telephone system includes means for applying the user-specified profiles (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Brennan differs from claimed invention in which it does not teach a system for modifying the user-specified profile based upon a location of the user. However, Bissell teaches the recited feature that shown in column 2, lines 6-11. Therefore, integrating Bissell's teachings into communication system of Brennan would have been obvious for saving call processing time due to high possibility of reaching the desired call recipient.

Regarding claim 2, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which at least one profile depends on the status of the user, selected by the user from a list of at least two profiles, with a set of responses correlated with the status specified in the selected profile (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 4, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to at least one profile has at least two responses that are activated according to the time of day (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 5, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 4, in which at least two responses that are activated according to the time of day depend on the user's normal working hours and the user's normal sleeping hours (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, Ins 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 9, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which at least two filters apply a different response to an incoming call of the same category (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, Ins 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 11, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which calls that satisfy a specified criterion pass through the tilter even if they do not satisfy another criterion of the tilter (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 12, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which the user specifies a set of at least one response to at least one filter (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

As to claims 13-14, 16-17, 21 and 23-28, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-2, 4-5 and 9-12 above.

7. Claims 3, 6-8, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brennan '578 in view of Bissell '645, and further in view of Shaffer et al (US Pat No. 6,600,817), herein after referred as Shaffer.

Page 6

The integrated system of Brennan and Bissell fails to teach the limitations of claims 3, 6-8, 15 and 18-20. However, Shaffer teaches the recited limitations substantially as claimed, a method and system that provide time dependent screening against a target user profile of communication connections to a target communication terminal when a call is initiated within the same time zone or in a different time zone as the target communication terminal, so that the incoming call will not automatically be connected to the target communication terminal (see col. 1, lns 15-29 and col. 4, ln 24-col. 3, ln 54). Therefore, integrating Shaffer's teachings into communication integrated system of Brennan and Bissell would have been obvious for preventing a call from a caller who may unknowingly place the call to a target user at an odd or undesirable time with respect to the target user.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 4,313,035

U.S. Pat. No. 5,933,778

U.S. Pat. No. 6,330,322

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response

□EXPEDITED PROCEDURE□) or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

26 Sep 2005

BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bon 2 Mil